

**RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)**

FOR

**AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE
OF PRODUCTS OF E12 POTATO FOR SUPPLY OR
OFFER TO SUPPLY**

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 602-1/1/39

**APPLICANT: SPS INTERNATIONAL INC.
(MALAYSIA BRANCH)**

DATE: 14 NOVEMBER 2017

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 24 October 2017, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, E12 potato, with reduced levels of asparagine, reducing sugars and polyphenol oxidase. The application was filed by SPS International Inc. (Malaysia branch) (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 26 September 2017 to 25 October 2017 via advertisements in the local newspapers. Comments were received from Third World Network (TWN). GMAC took into consideration comments regarding concerns arising from crops utilizing RNA interference (RNAi) such as potential risks of dsRNA exposure, potential adverse off-target effects as well as molecular characterization and safety assessment of the product.

GMAC had two (2) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism, lower asparagine, lower reducing sugars and lower polyphenol oxidase E12 potato. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, E12 potato has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. E12 potato is approved in Australia, Canada, New Zealand and United States of America and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and processing in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic potato.

Potato is one of the world’s largest food crop, following maize, wheat and rice (FAO, 2014). The total world potato production is estimated at 381,682,000 tonnes in 2014 (FAOSTAT, 2017) with over two thirds consumed directly by humans, either fresh (boiled, roasted, baked, fried) or as processed products such as fries, chips or dehydrated flakes or powder. The remaining is used for feed or for starch production. Although potatoes are not grown specifically for animal feed, a small percentage of total harvest is used to add to the feed of ruminant animals like cattle and sheep.

The applicant claims that E12 potato is compositionally and nutritionally equivalent to the potato variety Russet Burbank and other conventional potatoes. The type of expected use of E12 potato

and the products derived from E12 potato in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for conventional potatoes and products derived from conventional potatoes.

Information about E12 potato

The cultivated potato, *Solanum tuberosum*, originated from the South American region (Andean and Chilean landraces) and is presently cultivated worldwide in over one hundred countries throughout Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe and North and South America (USDA-ARS, 2014) and rarely exists as a wild plant other than as a volunteer (Burton, 1989; Simon *et al.*, 2010). Environmental conditions under which *S. tuberosum* can be successfully grown are very diverse. In the tropics it is grown in the cool highlands, typically at elevations over 1000 m, and in the subtropics it is grown during the cooler winter, autumn, and spring seasons or at mid-elevations (Hijmans, 2001). *S. tuberosum* is not frost tolerant and will be killed at temperatures of -3°C or lower (Li, 1977). It can grow in a range of soil types, but is sensitive to drought stress and, therefore, can only be cultivated where there is adequate rainfall or the ability to irrigate (Bohl and Johnson, 2010; Haverkort, 1990). Differences in tolerance to frost and drought occur within the species.

Solanum sp. have an initial chromosome number of 12, but polyploidy is prevalent in both wild and cultivated potatoes. To facilitate cross-breeding and selfing, the presence of insects is necessary, in particular, bumblebees (White, 1983). Pollen dispersal is mainly limited by the distance pollinating insects fly. Bumblebees and bees do not fly much further than three kilometres (Reheul, 1987). Normal honeybees are not pollinators of potato, as the flowers are without any nectar (Sanford and Hanneman, 1981). An experiment carried out by White (1983) concluded that wind was of no importance for pollination of potatoes.

A large number of the tetraploid cultivated *S. tuberosum subsp. tuberosum* cultivars have a reduced fertility (Ross, 1986). Most cultivars show a reduced pollen fertility or even pollen sterility. Although reduced female fertility is not so common, a lot of cultivars flower less profusely than wild material. Flowers are dropped after pollination, resulting in few berries and seeds formed in most *S. tuberosum subsp. tuberosum* cultivars. Potato seeds cannot be disseminated by birds, but dissemination by small mammals is possible (Hawkes, 1988). Potato seeds can remain viable for several years (Love *et al.*, 1994).

The cultivated potato is an annual crop, and commercial potato plants are propagated vegetatively using tuber pieces instead of seed (Rowe, 1993). Tubers are formed under the ground and can remain viable for long periods of time as long as there is not a major frost period.

The E12 potato is a new transgenic potato developed by transforming the conventional potato variety Russet Burbank with a plasmid containing fragments from four endogenous potato genes (from *Solanum tuberosum* and *S. verrucosum*), i.e. Asn1, R1, pHL and Ppo5 genes that down regulate asparagine synthetase, water kinase, phosphorylase L and polyphenol oxidase,

respectively, through the RNAi technique. This resulted in a potato with lower asparagine, lower reducing sugars and reduced polyphenol oxidase. These new traits contribute to the reduced acrylamide formation when cooked at high temperatures and reduced black spot/bruising of the E12 potato.

E12 potato may enter Malaysia as food, food/feed ingredients for processing or packaging, or as finished products ready for distribution.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC took cognizance of the following as suggested within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

A Risk Matrix was prepared based on an assessment mechanism developed by Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, Australia (OGTR, 2009). In applying this matrix, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The Risk Assessment was conducted over a series of two (2) meetings. To start with, the possible pathways to risk/hazard arising from release of the products were identified and listed. The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, production of proteins or metabolites with mutagenic / teratogenic / carcinogenic effects, reproductive toxicity, anti-nutritional content and nutritional equivalence.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release (during transportation), unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM potato and toxic effect on non-target organisms were examined.

Based on the above, a final list of 18 potential hazards was identified. All of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or “negligible”.

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) **Accidental release of E12 potato tubers**

Tubers may be accidentally released during transportation. These tubers can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities. The environmental conditions in Malaysia is not suitable for successful growth of potatoes.

b) Planting of E12 potato tubers

There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Compromised Nutritional Content

The potential risk of E12 potato was evaluated in equivalence to, and above any potential risk reported for unmodified Russet Burbank potato.

Analyses of tubers from several studies demonstrate that E12 potato is nutritionally and compositionally similar to conventional potatoes.

However as a precautionary measure GMAC recommends that the proposed terms and conditions under section IV should be adhered to.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 18 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation down to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of E12 potato, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.

- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Regulations 1985 for labelling of GM food.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for release and long term use of this product

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person to report any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the E12 potato.

VII – Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, E12 potato, with reduced levels of asparagine, reducing sugars and polyphenol oxidase, and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII – Bibliography

1. Amrein, T.M., Bachmann, S., Noti, A., Biedermann, M., Barbosa, M.F., Biedermann-Brem, S., Grob, K., Keiser, A., Realini, P., Escher, F., et al. (2003). Potential of Acrylamide Formation, Sugars, and Free Asparagine in Potatoes: A Comparison of Cultivars and Farming Systems. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 51, 5556–5560.
2. Astwood, J.D., Alibhai, M., Lee, T., Fuchs, R., and Sampson, H. (2000). Identification and Characterization of IgE Binding Epitopes of Patatin, a Major Food Allergen of Potato. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 105, S184.
3. Bohl, W. H. and Johnson, S. B., (eds.) 2010. Commercial potato production in North America. The Potato Association of America Handbook. 2 ed. The Potato Association of America, Orono, ME.
4. Burton, W. G. (1989) The potato - 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York. 742 pp.
5. CFIA (1996). The Biology of *Solanum tuberosum* (L.) (Potatoes). Plant Biosafety Office December, 1–8.
6. Chawla, R., Shakya, R., and Rommens, C.M. (2012). Tuber-Specific Silencing of *Asparagine Synthetase-1* Reduces the Acrylamide-Forming Potential of Potatoes Grown in the Field without Affecting Tuber Shape and Yield. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 10, 913–924.
7. Davies, A.M.C., Division, C.F., and Lane, C. (1977). The Free Amino Acids of Tubers of Potato Varieties Grown in England and Ireland. *Potato Research* 20, 9–21.
8. De Swert, L.F.A., Cadot, P., and Ceuppens, J.L. (2007). Diagnosis and Natural Course of Allergy to Cooked Potatoes in Children. *Allergy* 62, 750–757.
9. Edner, C., Li, J., Albrecht, T., Mahlow, S., Hejazi, M., Hussain, H., Kaplan, F., Guy, C., Smith, S.M., Steup, M., et al. (2007). Glucan, Water Dikinase Activity Stimulates Breakdown of Starch Granules by Plastidial SS-Amylase. *Plant Physiology* 145, 17–28.
10. FAOSTAT (2016). FAOSTAT Database. [Http://faostat.fao.org/beta/en/#home](http://faostat.fao.org/beta/en/#home) August.
11. FDA (1992). Food for Human Consumption and Animal Drugs, Feeds, and Related Products: Foods Derived from New Plant Varieties; Policy Statement. Food and Drug Administration.
12. FDA (2016). Guidance for Industry: Acrylamide in Foods. FDA Food Guidances 1–37.
13. Hawkes, J. G. 1988. The evolution of cultivated potatoes and their tuber-bearing wild relatives. *Die Kulturpflanze* 36(1):189-208.
14. Haverkort, A. J. 1990. Ecology of potato cropping systems in relation to latitude and altitude. *Agricultural Systems* 32(3):251-272.

15. Hijmans, R. 2001. Global distribution of the potato crop. *American Journal of Potato Research* 78(6):403-412.
16. Kamrani, M., Kohnehrouz, B.B., and Gholizadeh, A. (2011). Cisgenic Inhibition of the Potato Cold Induced Phosphorylase L Gene Expression and Decrease in Sugar Contents. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10, 10076–10082.
17. Koch, W., Kwart, M., Laubner, M., Heineke, D., Stransky, H., Frommer, W.B., and Tegeder, M. (2003). Reduced Amino Acid Content in Transgenic Potato Tubers due to Antisense Inhibition of the Leaf H⁺/amino Acid Symporter StAAP1. *Plant Journal* 33, 211–220.
18. Kozukue, N., Yoon, K.-S., Byun, G.-I., Misoo, S., Levin, C.E., and Friedman, M. (2008). Distribution of Glycoalkaloids in Potato Tubers of 59 Accessions of Two Wild and Five Cultivated *Solanum* Species. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 56, 11920–11928.
19. Li, P. H. 1977. Frost killing temperatures of 60 tuber-bearing *Solanum* species. *American Potato Journal* 54(9):452-456
20. Love, S.L. (1994). Ecological Risk of Growing Transgenic Potatoes in the United States and Canada. *Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station* 647–658.
21. Mignery, G.A., Pikaard, C.S., and Park, W.D. (1988). Molecular Characterization of the Patatin Multigene Family of Potato. *Gene* 62, 27–44.
22. O'Brien, J., and Morrissey, P.A.A. (1989). Nutritional and Toxicological Aspects of the Maillard Browning Reaction in Foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 28, 211–248.
23. OECD (1997). Consensus Document on the Biology of *Solanum tuberosum* Subsp. *tuberosum* (Potato). Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development. <http://www.oecd.org/science/biotrack/46815598.pdf>.
24. OECD (2002). Consensus Document on Compositional Considerations for New Varieties of Potatoes: Key Food and Feed Nutrients, Anti-Nutrients and Toxicants. Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development. <https://www.oecd.org/science/biotrack/46815167.pdf>.
25. Reheul, D., 1987. Ruimtelijke isolatie in de plantenveredeling. 2. Ruimtelijke isolatie bij insectenbestuivers. *Landbouwtijdschrift* 40: 15-23.
26. Ross, H., 1986. Potato breeding – Problems and perspectives. *Advances in Plant breeding*. Supplement 13 to the *Journal of Plant Breeding*, 132 pp.
27. Rowe, R. C. (1993) *Potato Health Management*. The American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, Minnesota, USA, pp. 4.
28. Sanford, J. C. and Hanneman, R. E. 1981. The use of bees for the purpose of inter-mating in potato. *American Potato Journal* 58(9):481-485.

29. Simon, R., Xie, C. H., Clausen, A., Jansky, S. H., Halterman, D., Conner, T., Knapp, S., Brundage, J., Symon, D. and Spooner, D. 2010. Wild and cultivated potato (*Solanum* sect. *Petota*) escaped and persistent outside of its natural range. *Invasive Plant Science and Management* 3(3):286-293
30. White, J. W. 1983. Pollination of potatoes under natural conditions. *CIP Circular* 11(2):1-2.

**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN
RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF
E12 POTATO FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- **Dr. Ahmad Parveez bin Hj Ghulam Kadir (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)
(GMAC Chairman)**
- **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA)
(Environment sub-committee leader)**
- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute for Medical Research)
(Human health sub-committee leader)**
- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia)
(Animal health sub-committee leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Sarawak Biodiversity Centre)
- Dr. Rahizan binti Issa (Institute for Medical Research)
- Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Malaysian Bioeconomy Development Corporation Sdn. Bhd.)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot binti Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Adiratna binti Mat Ripen (Institute for Medical Research)
- Dr. Norwati binti Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Madam Laila Rabaah binti Ahmad Suhaimi (Ministry of Health)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Dr Saifullizam bin Abd Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia)
- Madam Elliza binti Mat Noor (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)